# UNITED STATES OF AMERICA JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

CHAIRMAN: Judge Wm. Terrell Hodges United States District Court Middle District of Florida MEMBERS: Judge John F. Keenan United States District Court Southern District of New York

Judge Morey L. Sear United States District Court Eastern District of Louisiana

Judge Bruce M. Selya United States Court of Appeals First Circuit Judge Julia Smith Gibbons United States District Court Western District of Tennessee

Judge D. Lowell Jensen United States District Court Northern District of California

Judge J. Frederick Motz United States District Court District of Maryland DIRECT REPLY TO:

Michael J. Beck Clerk of the Panel One Columbus Circle, NE Thurgood Marshall Federal Judiciary Building Room G-255, North Lobby Washington, D.C. 20002

Telephone: [202] 502-2800 Fax: [202] 502-2888

http://www.jpml.uscourts.gov

June 13, 2002

#### NOTICE OF HEARING SESSION

#### Dear Counsel:

Pursuant to the order of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation filed today, you are hereby notified that a hearing session has been scheduled to consider various matters pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

DATE OF HEARING SESSION: July 18, 2002

LOCATION OF HEARING SESSION: Spruance Hall Auditorium

Naval War College 686 Cushing Street

Newport, Rhode Island 02841

TIME OF HEARING SESSION: In those matters designated for oral argument, counsel presenting oral argument must be present at 8:30 a.m. in order for the Panel to allocate the amount of time for oral argument. Oral argument will commence at 9:30 a.m. Please read carefully the enclosed yellow sheet detailing special procedures for entry to the site of the hearing session.

Please direct your attention to the enclosed Hearing Session Order and Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session for a listing of the matters scheduled for consideration at this hearing session.

- Section A of this Schedule lists the matters designated for oral argument.
- Section B of this Schedule lists the matters that the Panel has determined to consider **without oral argument**, pursuant to Rule 16.1(c), R.P.J.P.M.L., 199 F.R.D. 425, 439 (2001).

For those matters listed on Section A of the Schedule, the enclosed blue "Notice of Presentation or Waiver of Oral Argument" must be returned to this office no later than **July 1, 2002.** Note the procedures governing Panel oral argument which are outlined on the enclosed "Procedures for Oral Argument before the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation." These procedures are strictly adhered to and your cooperation is appreciated.

Very truly,

Michael J. Beck Clerk of the Panel

JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION FILED

**JUNE 13, 2002** 

MICHAEL J. BECK CLERK OF THE PANEL

#### BEFORE THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

WM. TERRELL HODGES, CHAIRMAN, JOHN F. KEENAN, MOREY L. SEAR, BRUCE M. SELYA, JULIA SMITH GIBBONS, D. LOWELL JENSEN AND J. FREDERICK MOTZ, JUDGES OF THE PANEL

## **HEARING SESSION ORDER**

IT IS ORDERED that on July 18, 2002, a hearing session will be held in Newport, Rhode Island, to consider the matters on the attached Schedule under 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that at said hearing session the Panel may, on its own initiative, consider transfer of any or all of the actions in those matters to any district or districts.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that at said hearing session the matters listed on Section A of the attached Schedule shall be designated for oral argument.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that at said hearing session the matters listed on Section B of the attached Schedule shall be considered without oral argument, pursuant to Rule 16.1(c), R.P.J.P.M.L., 199 F.R.D. 425, 439 (2001). The Panel reserves the prerogative, on any basis including submissions of parties pursuant to Panel Rule 16.1(b), to issue a subsequent notice designating any of those matters for oral argument.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation shall direct notice of this hearing session to counsel for all parties involved in the matters on the attached Schedule.

FOR THE PANEL:

Wm. Terrell Hodges Chairman

arsonel Hodge

# SCHEDULE OF MATTERS FOR HEARING SESSION July 18, 2002 -- Newport, Rhode Island

## SECTION A MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

#### MDL-1214 -- In re Great Southern Life Insurance Company Sales Practices Litigation

Opposition of defendant Great Southern Life Insurance Company to remand of the following actions to their respective transferor court:

#### Northern District of Texas

Irene Jones v. Great Southern Life Insurance Co., et al., C.A. No. 3:01-1040 (M.D. Alabama, C.A. No. 2:01-345)

Manuel A. Espinoza, et al. v. Great Southern Life Insurance Co., C.A. No. 3:00-2420 (N.D. California, C.A. No. 3:00-763)

## MDL-1335 -- In re Tyco International, Ltd., Securities Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs Louisiana Retirement Systems; Robert D. Jaffee Revocable Trust, et al.; Lawrence Fagan, Sr.; D. Scott Kelley; Dr. Richard Hoyt; Robert J. Casey, II; Harry B. Meran; Donald Goldstein; and Marvin Overby, etc., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of New Hampshire:

#### Southern District of Florida

Robert D. Jaffee Revocable Trust, et al. v. Tyco International, Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 1:02-21048

Lawrence Fagan, Sr. v. Tyco International, Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 9:02-80118 D. Scott Kelley v. Tyco International, Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 9:02-80120 Dr. Richard Hoyt v. Tyco International, Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 9:02-80152 Robert J. Casey, II v. Tyco International, Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 9:02-80164 Harry B. Meran v. Tyco International, Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 9:02-80189

MDL-1335 (Continued)

#### Southern District of New York

Allan Carlin v. Tyco International, Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 1:02-878 Milton D. Abowitz, etc. v. Tyco International, Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 1:02-1033 Donald Goldstein v. Tyco International, Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 1:02-1034 Lon Engel, etc. v. Tyco International, Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 1:02-1150 David A. Walker v. Tyco International, Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 1:02-1278 Patty Smith v. Tyco International, Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 1:02-1288 Stanley Sved v. Tyco International, Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 1:02-1293 David Rappaport v. Tyco International, Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 1:02-1393 Mario R. Garcia v. Tyco International, Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 1:02-1464 Leonard D. Gigantino v. Tyco International, Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 1:02-1854 Joseph Leone v. Tyco International, Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 1:02-2056 Shmuel Lock v. Tyco International, Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 1:02-2080 Jules Brody v. Tyco International, Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 1:02-2190 Jay Fink v. Tyco International, Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 1:02-2260 Lou Ann Murphy v. Tyco International, Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 1:02-2356 Marvin Overby, etc. v. Tyco International, Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 1:02-2486 Jim Lee Chambers v. Tyco International, Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 1:02-2607

#### MDL-1374 -- In re Assicurazioni Generali S.p.A. Holocaust Era Insurance Litigation

Motion of plaintiffs Jack Brauns, M.D.; Steven Szekeres, et al.; Julia Sladek, M.D.; Felicia Spirer Haberfeld; Marta Goldstein Lightner; and Benjamin Mandil to remand their respective following actions from the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York to their respective transferor courts:

#### Southern District of New York

Jack Brauns, M.D. v. Assicurazioni Generali, S.p.A., et al., C.A. No. 1:00-9412 (C.D. California, C.A. No. 2:00-6194)

Steven Szekeres, et al. v. Assicurazioni Generali, S.p.A., et al., C.A. No. 1:01-158 (C.D. California, C.A. No. 2:00-10089)

## MDL-1374 (Continued)

## Southern District of New York (Continued)

Julia Sladek, M.D. v. Assicurazioni Generali S.p.A., et al., C.A. No. 1:01-6193 (C.D. California, C.A. No. 2:01-4683)

Felicia Spirer Haberfeld v. Assicurazioni Generali, S.p.A., C.A. No. 1:01-9498 (C.D. California, C.A. No. 2:01-4685)

Marta Goldstein Lightner v. Assicurazioni Generali, S.p.A., et al., C.A. No. 1:01-160 (C.D. California, C.A. No. 8:00-924)

Benjamin Mandil v. Assicurazioni Generali, S.p.A., et al., C.A. No. 1:00-9414 (S.D. California, C.A. No. 3:00-1202)

#### MDL-1472 -- In re Global Crossing Ltd. Securities & "ERISA" Litigation

Motion, as amended, of defendants Global Crossing Ltd.; Global Crossing Employees Retirement Savings Plans; Robert Annunziata; Mark Attanasio; Norman Brownstein; William B. Carter; Joseph P. Clayton; Williams S. Cohen; John Comparin; William E. Conway; Lodwrick Cook; S. Wallace Dawson, Jr.; John M. Finlayson; Steven J. Green; Eric Hippeau; Maria Elena Lagomasino; William Norris; John Scanlon; John A. Scarpati; and Gary Winnick for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Central District of California:

#### Central District of California

*Brian Roffe v. Global Crossing Ltd.*, C.A. No. 2:02-1039

Thomas Albano v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-1074

Janet Mahoney v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-1077

Douglas Groner v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-1137

Robert A. Rovner v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-1139

Samuel Dawson v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-1207

Stephan H. Goldstein, et al. v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-1233

James G. Whitty v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-1276

Gerald Lampton, et al. v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-1290

Albert Halegoua v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-1310

Bernard McAllister v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-1323

## MDL-1472 (Continued)

## Central District of California (Continued)

Maurice Brodsky, et al. v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-1351 Bruce Hudson, et al. v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-1373 Betty Sampsell v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-1455 Jagdeo Ramkissoon v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-1478 Charles Graham v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-1483 Donald Yancey, etc. v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-1635 Ben Gordon v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-1644 Roy L. Olofson v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-1693 Jon L. Pettit, et al. v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-1806 Bruce Donald Graham v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-1826 Amy Ghazle v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-1827 Richard Geist v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-1873 Charles G. Cooper, et al. v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-1957 Bruce Hill v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-2033 Daniel K. Iles v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-2034 Scott Johnson v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-2070 James Guarino v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-2100 Richard J. Turoff v. Arthur Andersen, LLP, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-2133 Rodney Scott Coleman v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-2343 Edward Gildea v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-2457 Martin Aircraft Tool Co., et al. v. Pacific Capital Group, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-2470 Michael Eisenberg, etc. v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-2497 Paul Bufano, et al. v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-2606 Hawaii Laborers' Trust Funds v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-2608

#### District of District of Columbia

Jeffery Abdelnour v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 1:02-298

#### **District of New Jersey**

Joseph Zycherman v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-643

#### MDL-1472 (Continued)

#### Southern District of New York

F. Richard Manson v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 1:02-910 Martin Blount v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 1:02-944 Dr. Bajrang Agarwal v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 1:02-990 Reuben Askowitz v. Garv Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 1:02-1006 Gary Kosseff v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 1:02-1049 Angelo Armezzani v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 1:02-1086 Tiwok, Inc., et al. v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 1:02-1129 Christine J. Lopau v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 1:02-1134 Jeffrey Kurtz v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 1:02-1158 Paul McGovern v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 1:02-1386 Leonard Fuchs, et al. v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 1:02-1392 Virginia Musacchio v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 1:02-1588 Elaine B. Gastman v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 1:02-1612 Wallace C. Thompson v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 1:02-2014 James F. Tucker v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 1:02-2247 Daniel K. Iles v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 1:02-2641 Staro Asset Management, LLC v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 1:02-2652 Richard P. Kleinknecht, etc. v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 1:02-2665

#### Western District of New York

Roy E. Boyer, et al. v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 6:02-6067 Mary Ann Cottrell v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 6:02-6105 Sidney Hasler v. Gary Winnick, et al., C.A. No. 6:02-6160

## MDL-1473 -- In re American General Finance, Inc., Credit Insurance Litigation

Motion of defendants American General Finance, Inc.; Merit Life Insurance Company; Yosemite Insurance Company; and Thomas Edgeworth for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi or the United States District Court for the Northern District of Mississippi:

## Northern District of Mississippi

Willie E. Latham, et al. v. American General Finance, Inc., C.A. No. 1:01-462 Johnnie Archer, et al. v. Gary Castle, et al., C.A. No. 1:02-95

## Southern District of Mississippi

Genevia Keyes v. American General Finance, Inc., C.A. No. 2:02-27

John D. Starcher, et al. v. American General Finance, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-62

Rhonda L. Aaron, et al. v. American General Finance Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-63

Debbie Cross, et al. v. American General Finance, Inc., C.A. No. 3:00-832

Clay Rodgers v. American General Finance, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:01-599

Willie Mae Ambers, et al. v. American General Finance, Inc., C.A. No. 3:01-872

Christine Harris, et al. v. Thomas L. Edgeworth, C.A. No. 3:02-57

Oda M. Green, et al. v. American General Finance, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-121

Dinnishaw Gamble, et al. v. American General Finance, Inc., et al.,

C.A. No. 3:02-210

Edna Keys, et al. v. American General Finance, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-211 Georgia Shorter, et al. v. American General Finance, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-212 Margaret Anderson, et al. v. American General Finance, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-213

Katina Banks, et al. v. American General Finance, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-214 Colleen Johnson, et al. v. American General Finance, Inc., C.A. No. 4:02-62 John Conner, et al. v. Merit Life Insurance Co., et al., C.A. No. 5:01-149 James O. Carter, et al. v. American General Finance, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:01-152 Sharon Rankin, et al. v. American General Finance, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:02-6 Tony R. Bailey, Sr., et al. v. American General Finance, Inc., C.A. No. 5:02-7 Elnora Barnes v. Thomas L. Edgeworth, et al., C.A. No. 5:02-56 Jerry D. Carter, et al. v. American General Finance, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:02-68

#### MDL-1474 -- In re Unitrin, Inc., Insurance Sales Practices Litigation

Motion of defendants Unitrin, Inc.; United Insurance Company of America; Union National Life Insurance Company; and Union National Fire Insurance Company for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi:

## Northern District of Mississippi

Monika Tucker, et al. v. Union National Life Insurance Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:01-240 Shirley Walker, et al. v. Union National Life Insurance Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:01-247 Minnie Wells v. United Insurance Co. of America, et al., C.A. No. 4:01-253

## Southern District of Mississippi

Jacqueline Crosby, et. al. v. Union National Life Insurance Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-343

Earnestine Chambers, et al. v. Union National Life Insurance Co., et al., C.A. No. 3:01-452

Tasha Garner v. Union National Life Insurance Co., et al., C.A. No. 3:01-844 Sarah Neely, et al. v. Union National Life Insurance Co., et al., C.A. No. 3:01-918 Annie Mae Porter, et al. v. United Insurance Co. of America, et al., C.A. No. 4:00-206

Doss Abney, et al. v. Union National Life Insurance Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:01-170 Mary Geneva Harris v. United Insurance Co. of America, et al., C.A. No. 4:02-1 Laura Jackson, et al. v. Union National Life Insurance Co., et al., C.A. No. 5:01-119 Dorothy Wells, et al. v. Union National Life Insurance Co., et al., C.A. No. 5:02-50 Marjorie Smith, et al. v. Union National Life Insurance Co., et al., C.A. No. 5:02-67

## MDL-1475 -- In re Heritage Bonds Litigation

Motion of defendants U.S. Trust Company of Texas, N.A. and U.S. Trust Corporation for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Central District of California:

#### Central District of California

Betker Partners One, LP, et al. v. U.S. Trust Corp., N.A., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-5752 Gilbert Kivenson v. U.S. Trust Corp., N.A., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-382 Howard Preston, et al. v. U.S. Trust Corp., N.A., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-993 U.S. Trust Co. of Texas, N.A. v. O.V. Bertolini, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-2745

#### Northern District of Illinois

Phillip L. Stern, etc. v. U.S. Trust Co. of Texas, N.A., C.A. No. 1:01-9078

#### MDL-1476 -- In re First Union Mortgage Corp. Yield Spread Premium Litigation

Motion of plaintiffs Leonard S. Davis, et al., for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama:

#### Northern District of Alabama

Daniel Heimmermann, et al. v. First Union Mortgage Corp., C.A. No. 3:98-2357

#### Southern District of Florida

Leonard S. Davis, et al. v. First Union Mortgage Corp., et al., C.A. No. 4:99-1494

## Middle District of Georgia

Robert Trombley, et al. v. First Union Mortgage Corp., C.A. No. 4:98-19

## MDL-1477 -- In re Serzone Products Liability Litigation

Motion of plaintiffs Andrea B. Cheramie, et al., for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana:

#### Eastern District of Louisiana

Andrea B. Cheramie, et al. v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., C.A. No. 2:02-910

#### Middle District of Louisiana

Melva L. Duplessie, et al. v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., C.A. No. 3:02-322

#### Western District of Louisiana

William Collins v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., C.A. No. 1:02-638 Joseph I. Viator, et al. v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., C.A. No. 2:02-637 Judy Owens, et al. v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., C.A. No. 6:02-680

#### Northern District of Mississippi

Sherry Hayden, et al. v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., C.A. No. 4:02-86

#### MDL-1479 -- In re Neurontin Antitrust Litigation

Motion of plaintiff Richard A. Wilson for centralization of certain of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania; and motion of defendants Pfizer, Inc., and Warner-Lambert Company for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

#### Northern District of California

Jane Sall, et al. v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-2122

## MDL-1479 (Continued)

## Eastern District of Michigan

Great Lakes Health Plan, Inc. v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-71907

#### District of New Jersey

William Owens v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-1390
Burdonna Trujillo v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-1391
Smithfield Foods, Inc. v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-1397
Phyllis Salowe-Kaye, et al. v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-1527
Louisiana Wholesale Drug Company, Inc. v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-1830

#### Eastern District of New York

Neil Meltzer v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-1388

#### Southern District of New York

Health & Benefit Trust Fund of the International Union of Operating Engineers Local Union 94, 94A, 94B v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:02-1845

Gary Jaffe v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:02-2017

Katherine D'Angelo v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:02-2028

Action Alliance of Senior Citizens of Greater Philadelphia v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:02-2445

Vista Healthplan, Inc. v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:02-2531

United Food & Commercial Workers Local 56 Health & Welfare Fund v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:02-2663

Painters District Council No. 30 Health & Welfare Fund v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:02-2845

#### Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Richard A. Wilson v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-2094 Nancy Soeurt v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-2433

## MDL-1480 -- In re DaimlerChrysler Corp. Seat Belt Buckle Products Liability Litigation

Motion of defendant DaimlerChrysler Corporation for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio or the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan:

## Northern District of Georgia

Beatrice Coker, et al. v. DaimlerChrysler Corp., C.A. No. 1:02-903

#### **District of Massachusetts**

Linda K. Hiller, et al. v. DaimlerChrysler Corp., C.A. No. 1:02-10533

#### MDL-1481 -- In re Meridia Products Liability Litigation

Motion of plaintiff Holly Cardwell for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois or the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

#### Northern District of Illinois

Holly Cardwell v. Abbott Laboratories, et al., C.A. No. 1:02-2183

## District of New Jersey

Carol Rothenberger v. Abbott Laboratories, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-1419

## MDL-1483 -- In re Qwest Communications Corp. Payphone Service Providers Compensation Litigation

Motion of defendant Qwest Communications Corporation for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado:

#### Central District of California

Gregory J. Wolfe, et al. v. Qwest Communications Corp., C.A. No. 2:01-5554 Steve Littlejohn v. Qwest Communications Corp., C.A. No. 2:01-6033 PBS Telecom, Inc. v. Qwest Communications Corp., C.A. No. 2:01-7285 Royce Tawney v. Qwest Communications Corp., C.A. No. 2:02-827 Zane Greene v. Qwest Communications Corp., C.A. No. 8:02-501

#### Eastern District of California

Dong Ju Lee v. Owest Communications Corp., C.A. No. 2:01-1578

#### Northern District of California

Precision Pay Phones v. Qwest Communications Corp., C.A. No. 3:02-213
Precision Pay Phones v. Qwest Communications Corp., C.A. No. 3:02-214
Precision Pay Phones v. Qwest Communications Corp., C.A. No. 3:02-215
Bay Distribution Service, Inc. v. Qwest Communications Corp., C.A. No. 5:01-20937
Bay Distribution Service, Inc. v. Qwest Communications Corp., C.A. No. 5:01-20938
Bay Distribution Service, Inc. v. Qwest Communications Corp., C.A. No. 5:01-20939
Bay Distribution Service, Inc. v. Qwest Communications Corp., C.A. No. 5:01-20940
Bay Distribution Service, Inc. v. Qwest Communications Corp., C.A. No. 5:01-20941
Bay Distribution Service, Inc. v. Qwest Communications Corp., C.A. No. 5:01-20942
Antonio T. Pimentel v. Qwest Communications Corp., C.A. No. 5:02-1540

#### District of District of Columbia

APCC Services, Inc., et al. v. Qwest Communications Corp., C.A. No. 1:01-641

#### District of Nevada

Nevada Telephone, Inc. v. Qwest Communications Corp., C.A. No. 2:02-742

MDL-1483 (Continued)

## District of Utah

Flying J, Inc., et al. v. Qwest Communications Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:00-23

## Western District of Washington

*Metrophones Telecommunications, Inc. v. Qwest Communications Corp.*, C.A. No. 2:01-1850

## SECTION B MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR CONSIDERATION WITHOUT ORAL ARGUMENT

## MDL-875 -- In re Asbestos Products Liability Litigation (No. VI)

Oppositions of plaintiffs Flinn James, et al.; Ronald L. Huber, et al.; Frank Huff, Jr., et al.; Leon J. Smith, et al.; Charles E. Davis, et al.; and James E. Snead, et al., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania:

#### Southern District of Mississippi

Flinn James, et al. v. International Paper, C.A. No. 1:01-556

#### Western District of Pennsylvania

Ronald L. Huber, et al. v. J. Robert Davis, Jr., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-304

#### District of South Carolina

Frank Huff, Jr., et al. v. ACandS, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-16 Leon J. Smith, et al. v. ACandS, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-17 Charles E. Davis, et al. v. ACandS, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-51 James E. Snead, et al. v. ACandS, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-173

Opposition of defendant Duquesne Light Company to remand of the following action to the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania:

#### Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Karen Jesensky, et al. v. A-Best Products Co., et al., (W.D. Pennsylvania, C.A. No. 2:96-680)

## MDL-1091 -- In re Metropolitan Life Insurance Company Sales Practices Litigation

Opposition of plaintiffs Billy C. Carter, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania:

#### Southern District of Mississippi

Billy C. Carter, et al. v. Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al., C.A. No. 5:02-115

## MDL-1105 -- In re New England Mutual Life Insurance Company Sales Practices Litigation

Opposition of defendant New England Life Insurance Company to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts:

#### **District of Kansas**

S-G Metals Industries, Inc. v. New England Life Insurance Co., C.A. No. 2:02-2109

## MDL-1179 -- In re General American Life Insurance Company Sales Practices Litigation

Opposition of plaintiff Carol Louise Brown to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri:

#### Western District of Pennsylvania

Carol Louise Brown v. General American Life Insurance Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-641

# MDL-1203 -- In re Diet Drugs (Phentermine/Fenfluramine/Dexfenfluramine) Products <u>Liability Litigation</u>

Oppositions of plaintiffs Peggy Jean Eisenhauer; Rossana Price; Lynn Sabo; Janet Bojan, et al.; and Charles Cox, et al., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania:

## Central District of California

Peggy Jean Eisenhauer v. American Home Products Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-1780

## District of District of Columbia

Rossana Price v. American Home Products Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:02-523

#### Southern District of Illinois

Lynn Sabo v. American Home Products Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-178

#### Southern District of Mississippi

Janet Bojan, et al. v. American Home Products Corp., et al., C.A. No. 5:02-95

#### Western District of Oklahoma

Charles Cox, et al. v. American Home Products Corp., et al., C.A. No. 5:02-368

## MDL-1214 -- In re Great Southern Life Insurance Company Sales Practices Litigation

Oppositions of certain defendants to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas:

#### Northern District of Alabama

- Great Southern Life Insurance Co. v. Carl L. Adcock, II, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-278

  Central District of California
- Great Southern Life Insurance Co. v. George M. Novicoff, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-105

  Southern District of Florida
- Great Southern life Insurance Co. v. Mirian B. Anthony, et al., C.A. No. 1:02-20390

  Northern District of Georgia
- Great Southern Life Insurance Co. v. Cynthia L. Adams, et al., C.A. No. 1:02-292

  Southern District of Mississippi
- Great Southern Life Insurance Co. v. Jim Aaron, et al., C.A. No. 3:02-104
  Western District of North Carolina
- Great Southern Life Insurance Co. v. Angela D. Adams, et al., C.A. No. 3:02-48

  <u>District of New Jersey</u>
- Great Southern Life Insurance Co. v. Deborah A. Bluford, et al., C.A. No. 1:02-473

  Northern District of Oklahoma
- Great Southern Life Insurance Co. v. Ramona Arnold, et al., C.A. No. 4:02-86

MDL-1214 (Continued)

#### Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Great Southern Life Insurance Co. v. Arlene P. Andracchio, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-552

#### **District of South Carolina**

Great Southern Life Insurance Co. v. Danny S. Abernathy, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-301

#### Middle District of Tennessee

Great Southern Life Insurance Co. v. Carol L. Adams, et al., C.A. No. 3:02-113

## MDL-1293 -- In re Natural Gas Royalties Qui Tam Litigation

Opposition of plaintiffs Gordon R. Palmer, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of Wyoming:

#### District of Colorado

Gordon R. Palmer, et al. v. Texaco Exploration & Production, Inc., C.A. No. 1:00-1922

## MDL-1317 -- In re Terazosin Hydrochloride Antitrust Litigation

Opposition of plaintiff Kaiser Foundation Health Plans, Inc., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida:

#### Central District of California

Kaiser Foundation Health Plans, Inc. v. Abbott Laboratories, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-2443

## MDL-1332 -- In re Microsoft Corp. Windows Operating Systems Antitrust Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs Be Incorporated and Sun Microsystems, Inc., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of Maryland:

#### Northern District of California

Be Incorporated v. Microsoft Corp., C.A. No. 3:02-837 Sun Microsystems, Inc. v. Microsoft Corp., C.A. No. 5:02-1150

## MDL-1334 -- In re Managed Care Litigation

Opposition of plaintiff Jin Zhou to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida:

#### District of Connecticut

Jin Zhou v. Connecticut General Life Insurance Co., C.A. No. 3:02-76

## MDL-1337 -- In re Holocaust Era German Industry, Bank & Insurance Litigation

Opposition of plaintiffs Martin G. Wortham, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

#### Southern District of New York

Martin G. Wortham, et al. v. Karstadtquelle AG, et al., C.A. No. 1:01-2741

## MDL-1348 -- In re Rezulin Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

#### Middle District of Alabama

Albert Daniels, et al. v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-342

#### Northern District of Alabama

Theodore Boyer, et al. v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 5:02-483

#### Southern District of Mississippi

Joseph Lusteck, etc. v. Robert Evans, M.D., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-396 Roberta Lane, et al. v. Parke-Davis, et al., C.A. No. 5:02-69

#### District of Nevada

Afton George v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-297 Ronald Brashier v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-299 Alan Garrison v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-332 Michael Sarabia v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-354 Alfred Velasquez v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-358 Yong G. Gennock v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-371 Michael Harding, et al. v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-402

#### District of New Mexico

Roberta Ward, etc. v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:02-126

#### Southern District of Ohio

Rella Wolf, et al. v. Parke-Davis, et al., C.A. No. 1:02-277

MDL-1348 (Continued)

## Northern District of Texas

Jeretta Kay Tedford v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 1:02-57

#### Southern District of Texas

Ruben Ramirez v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-75
Carmen T. Mendez v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-85
Carol Annelise Vela, et al. v. Parke-Davis, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-162
Clara M. Benson v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-149
Essie Brown, et al. v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-272
Anthony Sylvester Calton v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:02-788
Maria Del Carmen Salazar v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 5:02-35
Tomas Rodriguez v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 5:02-36
Eulalia G. Garza, et al. v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 7:02-80

#### Western District of Texas

Raul Nevarez v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-81 Robert B. Brook v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 5:02-399

#### Northern District of West Virginia

Donna J. Lilly, et al. v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 5:02-25

## MDL-1355 -- In re Propulsid Products Liability Litigation

Opposition of plaintiffs Ethel Robinson, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana:

#### Southern District of Mississippi

Ethel Robinson, et al. v. Johnson & Johnson, et al., C.A. No. 5:01-343

## MDL-1373 -- In re Bridgestone/Firestone, Inc., Tires Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs Ervie Wilson, et al.; William J. Hanna; Althea Davis, et al.; Katherine Brooks, etc.; and Nancy Mares, et al., to transfer of their respective following actions, and of defendant General Motors Corporation to transfer of one of the following actions, to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Indiana:

#### District of Arizona

Irene Medina Hernandez, et al. v. General Motors Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-232

#### Eastern District of Arkansas

Ervie Wilson, et al. v. Bridgestone/Firestone North American Tire, LLC, et al., C.A. No. 3:02-80

#### Southern District of Georgia

William J. Hanna v. Bridgestone/Firestone, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:02-55

#### Southern District of Mississippi

Althea Davis, et al. v. Ford Motor Co., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-271 Katherine Brooks, etc. v. Ford Motor Co., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-272

#### Southern District of Texas

Nancy Mares, et al. v. Bridgestone/Firestone, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 7:02-31

## MDL-1390 -- In re Life Insurance Co. of Georgia Industrial Life Insurance Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs Kareem Watson; Zachery Booker, et al.; Josephine Austin, et al.; John Smith, et al.; and Annie L. Wilks to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana:

## Middle District of Alabama

Kareem Watson v. Life Insurance Co. of Georgia, C.A. No. 2:01-1500 Zachery Booker, et al. v. Life Insurance Co. of Georgia, C.A. No. 3:01-1505

#### Northern District of Alabama

Josephine Austin, et al. v. Life Insurance Co. of Georgia, et al., C.A. No. 7:02-461

## Northern District of Mississippi

John Smith, et al. v. Life Insurance Co. of Georgia, et al., C.A. No. 4:02-82

## Southern District of Mississippi

Annie L. Wilks v. Life Insurance Co. of Georgia, C.A. No. 3:01-963

## MDL-1407 -- In re Phenylpropanolamine (PPA) Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs and defendants General Nutrition Corporation and Metabolife International Inc. to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington:

#### Northern District of California

Margaret Bradley v. Chattem, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-686 Grace Draper, et al. v. Chattem, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-701

#### MDL-1407 (Continued)

#### Eastern District of Louisiana

Kevin Mercier v. Ciolino Pharmacy, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-413

Lawrence Bailey v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-539

Denise Spencer v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-569

Ronald Christy v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-577

Linda Mayberry v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-619

Linda Adams, et al. v. American Home Products Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-620

Daniel Brister v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-621

Cherrie Patterson v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-622

Rose McCrary v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-642

Darnell Stone v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-660

Eva Opoku v. Whitehall-Robins Healthcare, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-662

Johnny Daigre v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-672

Andrew Martin v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-673

Ebony Primus v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-674

Mary Harrell v. Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-682

Frances Washington v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-698

Gloria Jackson v. Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-704

Clyde Crain v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-712

Herman Williams v. Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-723

Barbara Ohillia v. Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-724

Kenneth Hoofkin, et al. v. Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-742

William Carter v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-743

Richard Barber v. Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-744

Wayne Ohmer v. Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-746

Laura Counts v. Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-749

Robert Lee v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-753

Katherine Ladmirault v. Chattem, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-771

Gloria Cage v. Whitehall-Robins Healthcare, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-772

Michael Brumfield v. Glaxo SmithKline PLC, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-784

Judy Gilbert v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-790

Carol Gasper v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-808

Rummell Victor v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-809

## MDL-1407 (Continued)

#### Eastern District of Louisiana (Continued)

Veda Lee v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-819 Aric Martin v. Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-823 Betty Rollins v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-830 Timmy Charles, Sr. v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-831 Charlene Savoie v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-832 Alfred Davis, Sr. v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-833 Dianne Clark v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-834 Sylvia Falgoust v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-839 Gary Clark v. GlaxoSmithKline, PLC, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-846 Norma LaFrance v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-853 John Washington v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-856 John Corbin v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-857 Robert Lambremont v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-858 Stephen Barquet v. GlaxoSmithKline, PLC, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-869 Catherine Benjamin v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-886 Deborah Brady v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-887 Althea Fleming v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-888 Duane Joseph v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-889 Kenneth Cain v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-890 Marion Jones v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-891 Pamela Gaines v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-918 Lionel Thomas, Sr. v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-953

## Middle District of Louisiana

Carl Barcelone v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-200 Jacqueline Metevia v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-201 David Emery, Jr. v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-210 Agatha Norwood v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-211 Kelvin Leatherman v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-212 Jerry Bates v. Chattem, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-213 Beatrice Williams v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-214

## MDL-1407 (Continued)

#### Middle District of Louisiana (Continued)

Jonathan Nelson v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-234 Rosetta Connell v. Schering-Plough Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-238 David West v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-258 Liticia Johnson v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-260 Daisy O'Bair v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-264 Mable Ayers v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-292 Nettie Brown v. Glaxo SmithKline PLC, et al., C.A. No. 3:02-336

#### Western District of Louisiana

Gloria Barnes v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:02-533
Robert Smith, Jr. v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-517
Wilfred Clark v. Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-552
Elizabeth Tibbit v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-364
Herbert Alexander v. Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-534
James West v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 5:02-354
Girtha Allums v. Whitehall-Robins Healthcare, et al., C.A. No. 5:02-365
Mildred Douglas v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 5:02-432
Norman Evans v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 5:02-457
Barbara Specht v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 5:02-465
Joseph Charles v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 6:02-355
Timothy Frank v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 6:02-516
Helen Ortego v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 6:02-639

#### Southern District of New York

Natalie Schor v. Novartis Consumer Health, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:02-1684

#### Western District of Texas

Angelita Dille, et al. v. Delaco Co., et al., C.A. No. 5:02-274

## MDL-1409 -- In re Currency Conversion Fee Antitrust Litigation

Opposition of plaintiff Chad Dobrei to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

#### Northern District of California

Chad Dobrei v. First USA Bank, N.A., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-1699

## MDL-1419 -- In re K-Dur Antitrust Litigation

Opposition of plaintiff Robert Mitchell to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

#### Eastern District of Michigan

Robert Mitchell v. Schering-Plough Corp., et al., C.A. No. 5:02-60061

## MDL-1429 -- In re American General Life & Accident Insurance Co. Industrial Life Insurance Litigation

Opposition of plaintiffs Chester Lee Johnson, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina:

#### Southern District of Mississippi

Chester Lee Johnson, et al. v. American General Life & Accident Insurance Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:02-73

## MDL-1431 -- In re Baycol Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs Paul A. Edgar, et al.; Maria Dominguez, etc.; Ruth Benzuly, et al.; James W. Jensen; Lois Anderson; and Paul Duda to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota:

## Middle District of Florida

Paul A. Edgar, et al. v. Bayer AG, et al., C.A. No. 8:02-329

#### Southern District of Florida

Maria Dominguez, etc. v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:02-20392

#### Northern District of Illinois

Ruth Benzuly, et al. v. Bayer AG, et al., C.A. No. 1:02-1745 James W. Jensen v. Bayer AG, et al., C.A. No. 1:02-1747

## Southern District of Mississippi

Lois Anderson v. Bayer AG, et al., C.A. No. 5:02-46

## Southern District of Ohio

Paul Duda v. Bayer AG, et al., C.A. No. 2:02-144

## PROCEDURES FOR ORAL ARGUMENT BEFORE THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

All oral argument is governed by the provisions of Rule 16.1 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u> (effective April 2, 2001). Rule 16.1(g) allows a maximum of twenty minutes for oral argument in each matter. In most cases, however, less time is necessary for the expression of all views and the Panel reserves the prerogative of reducing the time requested by counsel. Accordingly, counsel should be careful not to overstate the time requested for oral argument.

The Panel insists that counsel limit all oral argument to the appropriate criteria. <u>See generally In re "East of the Rockies" Concrete Pipe Antitrust Cases</u>, 302 F. Supp. 244, 255-56 (J.P.M.L. 1969) (concurring opinion) (discussion concerning criteria for transfer).

Rule 16.1 is duplicated in its entirety hereafter for your convenience.

#### RULE 16.1: HEARING SESSIONS AND ORAL ARGUMENT

- (a) Hearing sessions of the Panel for the presentation of oral argument and consideration of matters taken under submission without oral argument shall be held as ordered by the Panel. The Panel shall convene whenever and wherever desirable or necessary in the judgment of the Chairman. The Chairman shall determine which matters shall be considered at each hearing session and the Clerk of the Panel shall give notice to counsel for all parties involved in the litigation to be so considered of the time, place and subject matter of such hearing session.
- (b) Each party filing a motion or a response to a motion or order of the Panel under Rules 7.2, 7.3, 7.4 or 7.6 of these Rules may file simultaneously therewith a separate statement limited to one page setting forth reasons why oral argument should, or need not, be heard. Such statements shall be captioned "Reasons Why Oral Argument Should [Need Not] Be Heard," and shall be filed and served in conformity with Rules 5.12 and 5.2 of these Rules.
- (c) No transfer or remand determination regarding any action pending in the district court shall be made by the Panel when any party timely opposes such transfer or remand unless a hearing session has been held for the presentation of oral argument except that the Panel may dispense with oral argument if it determines that:
  - (i) the dispositive issue(s) have been authoritatively decided; or
- (ii) the facts and legal arguments are adequately presented in the briefs and record, and the decisional process would not be significantly aided by oral argument.

  Unless otherwise ordered by the Panel, all other matters before the Panel, such as a motion for reconsideration, shall be considered and determined upon the basis of the papers filed.
- (d) In those matters in which oral argument is not scheduled by the Panel, counsel shall be promptly advised. If oral argument is scheduled in a matter the Clerk of the Panel may require counsel for all parties who wish to make or to waive oral argument to file and serve notice to that effect within a stated time in conformity with Rules 5.12 and 5.2 of these Rules. Failure to do so shall be deemed a waiver of oral argument by that party. If oral argument is scheduled but not attended by a party, the matter shall not be rescheduled and that party's position shall be treated as submitted for decision by the Panel on the basis of the papers filed.
- (e) Except for leave of the Panel on a showing of good cause, only those parties to actions scheduled for oral argument who have filed a motion or written response to a motion or order shall be permitted to appear before the Panel and present oral argument.
- (f) Counsel for those supporting transfer or remand under Section 1407 and counsel for those opposing such transfer or remand are to confer separately prior to the oral argument for the purpose of organizing their arguments and selecting representatives to present all views without duplication.
- (g) Unless otherwise ordered by the Panel, a maximum of twenty minutes shall be allotted for oral argument in each matter. The time shall be divided equally among those with varying viewpoints. Counsel for the moving party or parties shall generally be heard first.

- (h) So far as practicable and consistent with the purposes of Section 1407, the offering of oral testimony before the Panel shall be avoided. Accordingly, oral testimony shall not be received except upon notice, motion and order of the Panel expressly providing for it.
- (i) After an action or group of actions has been set for a hearing session, consideration of such action(s) may be continued only by order of the Panel on good cause shown.